

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/477,477	01/04/2000	JULIO ESTRADA	L09-99-048	9275
7590 02/05/2004  LOTUS DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION 55 CAMBRIDGE PARKWAY CAMBRIDGE, MA 02142			EXAMINER	
			DETWILER, BRIAN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CAMBRIDGE,	, WIA 02142		2173	110
			DATE MAILED: 02/05/200	[ <i>b</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. G0477.477 ESTRADA ET AL  Examiner    District   Device   De	•		_				
Examiner Brian J Delviller Brian J Delviller Brian J Delviller 2173  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In an event, however, may a reply be timely filled with 15 mon himsing date of this communication.  If NO petid for reply is specified above, the maximum stabilities.  If NO petid for reply is specified above, the maximum stabilities are sufficiently for the period of the period		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Period for Reply		09/477,477	ESTRADA ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Educations of the map by exhibits under the provision of 3 of PR 1.13(6), in ne event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 50 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication.  If No paried for reply is specified above, the maximus of 3 of PR 1.13(6), in ne event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 50 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication of the part of the communication of the part of the part of the communication of the part of	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a), in or event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a), in or event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(b), in or event, however, may a reply be timely filed  If NO period for reply a specified above, the maximum statisticary period will apply early uniform the timeling date of the communication of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply a specified above, the maximum statisticary period will apply and under the specified of the communication.  Fallies to reclaim the maximum statisticary period will apply and under the specified of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search of the communication of the communication.  Status  1) Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 December 2003.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Income this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-13 is/are pending in the application.  4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-13 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-13 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-13 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-13 is/are allowed.  8) Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-13 is/are allowed.  8) Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-13 is/are allowed.  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.86(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		Brian J Detwiler	2173				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Letanesine of them may be windlishe under the provision of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In an event, however, may a reply be timely field  Letanesine of the may be available under the provision of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In an event, however, may a reply be timely field  Letanesine of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply which the statutory minimum of thirty (40) days will be considered timely.  If No period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply which the statutory minimum of thirty (40) days will be considered timely.  Failures to reply which the set or statemed principle for reply will, by shallow, causes the application to become ABANDCARD (35 U.S.C. § 135).  Failures to reply which the set of a communication (5) filled on 10 December 2003.  2a)[2] Responsive to communication(s) filled on 10 December 2003.  2a)[2] This action is FINAL. 2b)[1] This action is non-final.  3)[2] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)[2] Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5] Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-13 is/are rejected.  7)[2] Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)[3] Claim(s) is/are objected to.  9)[4] The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)[5] The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[6] accepted or b)[7] objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)[7] The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[7] accepted or b)[9] objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)[7] The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[7] accepted or b)[9] objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)[7] All b)[8] Some * c)[8] None of: 1.12 (Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Applica	•	ppears on the cover shee	with the correspondence address				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Edertains of them may be available under the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled effect SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period reply specified done is less than this (for the provision of th							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 December 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 5.13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1.2 and 5.13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1.2 and 5.13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subjected to. 8) Claim(s) 1.2 and 5.13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subjected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  10	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reconstruction of the specified above, the maximum statutory perior.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution and the specified above.	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma eply within the statutory minimum ol d will apply and will expire SIX (6) I ute, cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s)	Status						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 Q.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  4pplication Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d): 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e) 1) Notice of Tratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Tratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Tratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Tratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	December 2003.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Clalms  4)  Claim(s) 1.2 and 5.13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d): 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c) None of: 1	,		•				
Alplication of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d): 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
4)  Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1.□. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08)	Disposition of Claims		•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1.□. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08)	4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-13 is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d): 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 1) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d):  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/58/08)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d):  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-11449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d):  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/58/08)	,						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d):  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d):  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d):  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d):  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attac	ned Office Action of form P1O-152.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documer</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documer</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure</li> </ul>	nts have been received nts have been received in iority documents have be au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stage				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	/ <u>-</u>						
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	8) 5) Notice	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2173

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, and 5-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,253,216 (Sutcliffe et al), "Mastering Microsoft Office 97" (Moseley et al), and U.S. Patent No. 6,389,460 (Stewart et al).

Sutcliffe discloses in column 2, lines 20-39 an invention wherein users can create personalized web pages by using their browser software and communicating with a network server. Sutcliffe further discloses in column 2, lines 40-53 that the system allows users to input text and graphics parameters for storage in a database within said server. The personal web pages can then be displayed by retrieving the corresponding database parameters. Sutcliffe has thus disclosed a graphics server, a database for storing graphics effects parameters, and a browser that inherently includes a display. In columns 8 and 9, Sutcliffe discloses a "Create or Edit Personal Page" option, which serves a document to an edit screen on the display. As the title suggests, users can create or edit their personal web pages by inserting text, graphics, and backgrounds via a graphical user interface. Additionally, a "View Page" option allows users to view their web page with the selected graphics parameters. As mentioned, Sutcliffe discloses storing text, graphics, and background parameters, and then using those parameters to

Art Unit: 2173

reconstruct a personal web page. Sutcliffe does not specifically cite utilizing a font object when reconstructing the page, but fonts are inherently associated with any text displayed on a browser. Therefore, a font object must be included when reconstructing a web page. As explained in columns 8-10, Sutcliffe's personal page construction is constrained by user-selectable templates. Users cannot, therefore, insert graphics "anywhere" within a document as claimed. Templates, however, are certainly not required to construct web pages. Moseley, for instance, teaches on pages 1089-1090 that Microsoft Word allows users to create web pages just as they would a regular document. Text, graphics, hyperlinks, and backgrounds can all be added via the Microsoft Word interface. Furthermore, users can manipulate the insertion point as taught by Moseley on pages 137-139, and then apply a plurality of graphic effects as taught on pages 166-173. While templates work well for the novice user, more experienced HTML authors would certainly benefit from the increased flexibility offered by the Microsoft Word interface. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the web page authoring interface disclosed by Moseley in combination with the personal page system of Sutcliffe so that advanced users would not be constrained by templates and could thus create more personalized web pages. Regarding the remaining limitations, neither Sutcliffe nor Moseley disclose a cache for storing the graphics and text as an image. Stewart, however, discloses in column 3, lines 61-67 and column 4, lines 1-20 an invention for storing and retrieving objects in a rapid and efficient manner. Stewart further suggests in column 3, lines 61-63 that said objects can be images. In Figure 2, Stewart illustrates a proxy cache [208] for storing images. Stewart further discloses in column 16, lines 49-67 and column 17, lines 1-20 a hashing system for establishing a directory structure of cached images. Columns 18-

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/477,477

Art Unit: 2173

20 detail the process of receiving a graphic request locator, hashing the request to form a string, locating the cached image, and serving the image to a browser. Because the size of the cache is limited, however, it can only provide temporary storage. Accordingly, Stewart discloses in column 19, lines 62-67 and column 20, lines 1-11, logic for handling a request for an image not located in the cache. Stewart explains that when the image is not found, the system attempts to retrieve the image from an alternate location. In column 2, lines 39-45, Stewart provides motivation for adding a caching mechanism to the hypothetical invention of Sutcliffe and Moseley. Stewart explains in this section that organizations can more efficiently share the bandwidth of an Internet connection by storing frequently accessed Internet material. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further improve the hypothetical invention of Sutcliffe and Moseley by utilizing a cache as taught by Stewart. In the combined invention, images would be stored in a cache and in a database of parameters. The invention would first search the cache for the desired image as taught by Stewart. Then, if the requested image is not found in the cache, it could be reconstructed from its associated parameters as taught by Sutcliffe. This combination would be superior to the hypothetical invention of Sutcliffe and Moseley because of the increased efficiency.

Referring to claim 2, as mentioned above; Sutcliffe discloses a database within a server for storing personal web page parameters. When a user wishes to view a personal web page, a plurality of screen display images are generated from the parameters stored in the database.

Referring to claim 6, the combined teachings of Stewart, Moseley, and Sutcliffe establish a system wherein a graphic request locator is hashed to search for an image within a cache. If

Art Unit: 2173

the image is not found, the system generates a new image from the image parameters stored in a database. Based on Stewart's use of hashing to locate an image, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to hash the graphic request locator to also determine the location of the graphic parameters within the database. This would eliminate the need to provide additional information containing the parameter's whereabouts, thus improving the system's efficiency.

Referring to claims 7-10, Sutcliffe discloses in column 2, lines 44-53 that the system stores graphic parameters representing layout, text, and graphics and uses those parameters to reconstruct a personal web page. Sutcliffe further discloses in column 9, lines 1-8 that users can further assign background objects to their web pages. Sutcliffe does not specifically cite utilizing a font object when reconstructing the page, but inherently, a font object is associated with any text displayed on a browser. Therefore, a font object must be included when reconstructing a web page. Additionally, Sutcliffe does not specifically cite applying all of the graphic effects mentioned in claims 8-10. Moseley, though, reveals a plurality of text effects in pages 167-173. The effects include at least shadowing and animation. As for the remaining effects, Sutcliffe explains in column 9, lines 16-17 that images in his invention may comply with any standards known in the art. Furthermore, it is notoriously well known in the state of the art that web pages can display an abundance of graphics and graphic effects. The examiner takes OFFICIAL NOTICE of this teaching. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include any or all of the claimed graphic effects in the hypothetical invention of Sutcliffe, Moseley, and Stewart so as to conform with the current standards of web publishing.

Application/Control Number: 09/477,477 Page 6

Art Unit: 2173

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10 December 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserts that Stewart teaches retrieving an image from an alternate location when it is not found in the cache and thus teaches away from the claimed invention. The examiner submits that the test for obviousness is not whether all of the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981). Even though Stewart teaches looking elsewhere for an image when it is not found in the cache, this teaching does not affect the proposed combination. The reason said teaching was mentioned in the first place was to show that Stewart discloses "either or" logic similar to that claimed by Applicant (i.e. if the image is found in the cache, one step is performed; if the image is not found in the cache, a different step is performed). In the proposed combination of Stewart, Sutcliffe, and Moseley discussed above, images would be stored in a cache as taught by Stewart and in a database of parameters as taught by Sutcliffe. The invention would first search the cache for the desired image as taught by Stewart. Then, if the requested image were not found in the cache, it would be reconstructed from its associated parameters as taught-by Sutcliffe. Accordingly, claims 1, 2, and 5-13 stand rejected as explained above.

Art Unit: 2173

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J Detwiler whose telephone number is 703-305-3986. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8-5:30 and alternating Fridays 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W Cabeca can be reached on 703-308-3116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2173

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bjd

JÖHN CABECA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100